

## **THE REMARKS**

### **The Amendment**

The amendments in the specification delete the chemical names of Examples 90 and 91. The names were incorrect and they do not correspond with the chemical structures and have been replaced with correct chemical nomenclature. This corrects an obvious clerical error and presents correct nomenclature generated for each of the structures.


The claims are amended to change the claim dependency.

No new matter is added in any of the amendments.

### **The Response**

In response to the restriction requirement, Applicants are electing Group II, Claims 4, 13-15 and 19, which are drawn to a compound of formula II, for prosecution. Claims 3, 5, and 12 are amended to depend on Claim 4. Claim 18 is amended to depend on Claim 13. Therefore, Claims 3, 5, 12, and 18 should be examined together with Group II claims.

In response to the species election requirement, Applicants are electing the following compound (see page 28 of the application as filed, Example 19):




2-(2-(3,5-difluorophenyl)-2-hydroxyacetamido)-N-(3-(2-(2,4-difluorophenyl)propan-2-yl)-1H-pyrazol-5-yl)propanamide

Claims 3-5, 12-15, 18, 19 and parts of claim 20 read upon the elected species.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, Applicant are entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR §1.141 and claim 20 will be amended in light of the allowed claims

Date: January 25, 2010

Respectfully submitted,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Viola T. Kung, Ph.D. (Reg. No. 41,131)

Howrey LLP  
2941 Fairview Park Drive, Suite 200  
Falls Church, VA 22042  
Telephone: 650-798-3570  
Fax: 650-798-3600